TC2800.

Jeff

Organization

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

If Undeliverable Return In Ten Days

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYE



S WALL PRINTY BOOM'S

Official Business Penalty For Private Use, \$300



NIXIE

18 5E 1

78 04/21/10

RETURN TO SENDER NOT DELIVERABLE AS ADDRESSED UNABLE TO FORWARD

BC: 22313145050

\$2421-11339-01-4

OISISSONS ENGE,



| APR | 2 6 2010 W | AND TRADEMARK OFFICE | UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER F P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22: www.usplo.gov | TMENT OF COMMERCE Trademark Office OR PATENTS |
|--------------------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 10/766,271 | 01/29/2004 | Dietmar Dreyer | | 3434 |
| Donna Jane Cadell P.O.Box 204 Amesbury, MA 01913 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | DIAO, M BAYE | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2858 | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 03/22/2010 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



Notice of Abandonment

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 10/766,271 | DREYER, DIETMAR | DREYER, DIETMAR | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| M'BAYE DIAO | 2858 | | | |

- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address-This application is abandoned in view of: 1. Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 02 March 2009. (a) A reply was received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _ _), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of ____ __ month(s)) which expired on __ (b) A proposed reply was received on _____, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee), or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the nonfinal rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). (d) No reply has been received. 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) The submitted fee of \$____ is insufficient. A balance of \$____ is due. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$____. The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$___. (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _____), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) No corrected drawings have been received. 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on _____ and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below: No working phone number or address of any listed attorney. See attached Interview summary. /Edward Tso/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2858

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 10/766,271 | DREYER, DIETMAR | | | | | |
| Examiner-induced interview duminary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | M'BAYE DIAO | 2858 | | | | | |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: <u>Abandoned</u> | | | | | | |
| (1) <u>M'BAYE DIAO (PTO)</u> . | (3) | | | | | | |
| (2) <u>Donna L. Cadell</u> . | (4) | | | | | | |
| Date of Interview: 12 March 2010 | Time: <u>10:10AM</u> | | | | | | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☑ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☑ No | | | | | | | |
| If Yes, provide a brief description: | | | | | | | |
| Part I. | | | | | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | | | | | | |
| Claims discussed: | | | | | | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | | | | | | |
| Part II. | | | | | | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner called the listed attorney of record Donna J. Cadell. However, the listed phone number on the application file is not current. Since the application has expired more than 7 months, the application is statutorily abandoned. | | | | | | | |
| Part III. | | | | | | | |
| □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview | | | | | | | |
| did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | | | | | | |
| | | · | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | • | | | | | | |
| , | | | | | | | |
| /M'baye Diao/ | | | | | | | |
| Examiner, Art Unit 2858 | (Applicant/Applicant's Representa | tive Signature – if appropriate) | | | | | |